

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached sheet(s) of drawings includes changes to Figures 1-74.

Attachment: Replacement sheets for Figures 1-74.

REMARKS

Claims 2-8, 16 and 18 have been amended. Claims 1, 9-15 and 17 have been cancelled. Claim 22 has been added. Claims 2-8, 16, 18 and 22 are pending. Applicant reserves the right to pursue the original and other claims in this and in other applications.

The Examiner indicates in the Office Action that the references cited in the specification will not be considered in the absence of a formal listing with an IDS. Accordingly, an IDS and Form SB/08 are filed concurrently herewith.

The specification stands objected to. Pursuant to the Examiner's suggestion, the Abstract has been amended. The specification has been amended to match the reference characters in the figures and to correct typographical errors. No new matter has been added.

The drawings stand objected to. Replacement Figures 1-74 overcome the objection. Particularly, reference character "3" has been changed to "103" in Figure 2, reference character "8" has been changed to "108" in Figure 6, reference character "11" has been removed from Figures 10-14, and reference character "35" has been removed from Figure 22. Figure 23 has been corrected in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion in paragraph 8a.

As regards the Examiner's objection to Figures 41A-C, 48A-C, 52A-C, 53A-C and 54A-C, Applicant respectfully submits that a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand, after reading the specification and Figures 41A-C together, that these figures also inherently demonstrate a vehicle which is completely turned over.

Claims 1-16 stand objected to for informalities. Claims 1 and 9-15 have been cancelled and therefore, the objection of these claims is moot. Claims 2-8 and 16 have been amended to overcome the objection.

Claims 7 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claims 7 and 18 have been amended to remove one of the ranges or limitations.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 1,146,666 ("Strickler"). Claim 4 has been indicated to contain allowable subject matter.

In response to the rejection, claim 4 has been rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of the rejected base claim 1. Claims 2-3, 5, 6 and 8 depend from claim 4. For at least these reasons, claims 2-3, 5, 6 and 8 are likewise allowable.


Claim 16 contains similar limitations as claim 4 and therefore, is allowable.

Claims 9-15 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 2,180,912 ("Rogers"). Claims 9-15 and 17 have been cancelled and therefore, the rejection of these claims is moot.

In view of the above, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: July 3, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Stephen A. Soffen

Registration No.: 31,063

Ranga Sourirajan

Registration No.: 60,109

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-5403

(202) 420-2200

Attorneys for Applicant